### Survey preview:

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA Consultation on programme aims, implementation, and on challenges for programme participants

Dear colleague,

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. Your feedback about your Erasmus+ experience is extremely important to us.

In this survey, we would like to hear from you what works well in Erasmus+ at your institution, and what does not, whether you think the current programme's objectives are met, whether you have specific issues regarding proposal submission and evaluation, management of grants and financial and strategic aspects.

EUA will publish the findings of this survey and use them as a contribution to the <a href="European Commission"><u>European Commission (EC) interim evaluation of Erasmus+ 2021-27</u></a>. The EC will undertake in-depth public and stakeholder consultations in spring/summer 2023. It has already collected some preliminary feedback in autumn 2022. You can view EUA's contribution to this call for evidence <a href="here">here</a>. There should be only **one response** per institution. You may wish to consult with colleagues at your institution for some questions; please find here a PDF version of the questionnaire for your convenience. Please note that answers can only be submitted online.

#### The questionnaire

The survey is made up of two parts.

**Part A** asks about your general impression of the 2021-27 Erasmus+ programme, as well as some principal aspects that <u>EUA has been lobbying</u> for:

- increased inclusion and diversity amongst the participants of the programme,
- enhanced digitalisation of the programme itself, and support to digital skills development,
- a greener, more sustainable programme,
- further simplified application and grant administration rules and procedures,
- more flexibility and versatility of programme actions, simpler and more flexible budget rules.

This part should take no longer than 20 minutes to complete.

Part B asks for your concrete experience with some of the programme's specific key actions

**(KA)** and its **associated support measures.** Each action takes approximately <u>5 minutes</u> to complete, and you can choose which ones to provide feedback on, or to skip:

- KA1: Student mobility
- KA1: Staff Mobility
- KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of education and training (<u>decentralised</u>)
- KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of Education & Training submitted by ENGOs (**centralised**)
- KA2: Partnerships for Excellence: European Universities
- KA2: Erasmus Mundus Joint Master Degrees
- KA2: Capacity Building in Higher Education Any other comments you want to submit Each of the modules takes approximately <u>5 minutes</u> to complete.

Throughout the survey, terms which are <u>underlined and highlighted and blue</u> contain definitions or additional information and examples, which are visible when hovering over the term with your cursor.

In both parts, there are closed-ended questions and open comment boxes. Questions marked with an asterisk (\*) require an answer in order to progress through the survey. It is recommended to complete the survey in one session. However, you may exit the survey and return to it to complete it later, using the same link, provided that you use the same computer, the same browser, and cookies enabled and not automatically erased.

The deadline to complete the survey is **31 January 2023**. Your answers will be completely anonymous.

If you have any questions about the survey, please contact us at <a href="https://example.com/HEPU-surveys@eua.eu">HEPU-surveys@eua.eu</a>
Confidentiality and privacy policy

The data gathered through the survey will be treated confidential and fully in line with the <u>EUA</u>
privacy policy, and in compliance with GDPR. The responses provided in this survey will be
anonymised and aggregated, and all information that could directly identify the individual respondent and her/his institution will be removed. Neither you nor your institution will be
identified in any publication from this study, without your prior and explicit consent. Published
findings will be made available in open access on EUA's website and/or via a trusted repository.
Do you agree with the Confidentiality and Privacy Policy?
○ Yes
○ No

This survey is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial CC BY-NC. This information may be freely used, copied and adapted for non-commercial purposes, provided that the source is acknowledged (European University Association).

Display This Question:

If Do you agree with the Confidentiality and Privacy Policy? = No

Thank you for your interest in EUA's survey on the Erasmus+ interim evaluation. In order to participate, it is necessary to accept EUA's confidentiality and privacy policy. Please click previous in case you changed your mind and want to participate. Click next to end the survey.

Skip To: End of Survey If Thank you for your interest in EUA's survey on the Erasmus+ interim evaluation. In order to parti... Is Displayed

Please indicate your role/function in the institution
O Leadership (president, rector, vice rector, dean, director)
Advisor or support to leadership
O Academic (researcher, teacher etc)
O Administrator
Other
2. Where is your position located within your institution?
○ Rector's Office
○ Vice-rector's office
O International Office
Finance Department
Other service, please indicate:
3.1. Please select your country and institution from the drop-down menu below.* Your country and/ or institution does not appear in the list? Please choose **NOT LISTED** from both lists below and fill in the country and the name of your institution in the next question. Country Institution Name
3.2. If not listed, please indicate your country and the name of your institution.

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview
<ul><li>4. Type of institution? (please select one option).</li><li>Comprehensive (multidisciplinary) university</li></ul>
Specialised university
O University of applied sciences/ university college
Technical university
Open university
5. All data that you provide, would be aggregated and anonymised. But would you like your institution to be listed as a contributor to this survey in the final publication?* ( <i>please select one option</i> ).
Yes
○ No
Display This Question:
If All data that you provide, would be aggregated and anonymised. But would you like your institutio = Yes
6.1. Would you or a colleague agree ( please select all applicable)
to be contacted for follow-up questions
to be contacted to participate in a focus group organised by EUA (in 2023)
to be informed on other further activities on the topic of this survey
Display This Question:
If Would you or a colleague agree (please select all applicable) = to be contacted for follow-up questions
Or Would you or a colleague agree (please select all applicable) = to be contacted to participate in a focus group organised by EUA (in 2023)
Or Would you or a colleague agree (please select all applicable) = to be informed on other further activities on the topic of this survey
6.2. If yes, please indicate your name and email address, or those of the person to be contacted.
O Name
O Surname
○ Email

# 7.1. How would you assess the Erasmus+ programme?\*(please choose one option for each item)

	Yes	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
In comparison to the last programme (2014-2020) Erasmus+ is easier to apply to	0	0	0	0
In comparison to the last programme (2014-2020) Erasmus+ is easier to implement		0	0	0
Erasmus+ has no major administrative burden	0	0	0	0
The programme rules are easier to follow	0	$\circ$	0	0
Grant sizes are appropriate	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
Success rates in applications are appropriate	0	$\circ$	0	0
It offers good opportunities for collaboration with higher education institution partners in Europe	0	0	0	0
It offers good opportunities for collaboration and exchange with partners outside of Europe		0	0	

It offers good possibilities for collaboration and exchange with partners outside of the higher education sector (industry, schools, NGOs)	0		0	0
It offers good opportunities for mobility	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
It aligns well with our institutional policies/priorities for sustainable development and greening	0	0	0	0
It aligns well with our priorities on diversity and inclusion	0	0	0	0
It aligns well with our priorities on the digital transformation	0	0	0	0
It has clear funding rules	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Use of grants is flexible	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
Financial reporting and accounting are easy	0	0	0	0
Recording of staff costs and completion of time sheets is straightforward	0	0	0	0

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview				
*				
8. Please provide yo	our additional com	nments here <i>(600 chara</i>	cter limit)	
9. Do you agree with	n the following sta	atements regarding the	project manag	ement?* <i>(please</i>
choose one option for	or each item)			
	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
Information on calls and funding opportunities are easy to find on the website	0	0	0	0
Information on calls and funding opportunities are generally well promoted	0	0	0	0
The Beneficiary Module is useful for grant management	0	0	0	$\circ$
The EU funding portal is useful for grant management	0	0	0	$\circ$
The Erasmus+ Programme Guide provides clear and easy to find answers to most issues	0	0	0	0
Support from the EACEA is usually prompt and without	0	0	0	0

much delay

Support from the EACEA is usually helpful and efficient	0	0	0	0
Management of the project by EACEA is smooth and transparent	0	0	0	0
Support from the National Agency is usually prompt and without much delay	0	0	0	0
Support from the National Agency is usually helpful and efficient	0	0	0	0
Management of the project by the <u>National</u> <u>Agency</u> is smooth and transparent	0	0	0	0
*  10. Please provide	e your additional comi	ments here <i>(600 ch</i>	aracter limit)	

11. What is your assessment or expectation towards the lump sum funding approach in Erasmus+collaboration and capacity building projects? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
Projects will be easier to manage	0	0	0	0
It might help us to cover the real costs better	0	$\circ$	0	0
It might be less of an administrative burden in the management of projects	0	0	0	0
Not much of a difference, as we have to create budget and do financial reports in our own administration anyway	0	0	0	0
It might cause problems with our internal accounting procedures	0	0	0	0
Requirements for financial reporting are unclear	0	0	0	0
It might create issues with institutional internal audits	0	0	$\circ$	0
It might create issues with external audits	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
We would prefer to use a real- cost co-funding approach (previously used in KA3 projects)	0		0	

Erasmus+ interim ev	aluation 2023: EUA	survey preview		
We would prefer to use the unit cost approach (previously used in KA2 collaboration)	0	0	0	0
* 12. Please provide y	our additional comm	ents here (600 cha	racter limit)	

13. Do you think the Erasmus+ programme succeeds in addressing the key priorities it has set for itself? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
Inclusion and Diversity	0	0	0	0
Digital Transformation	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Environment and fight against climate change	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
Participation in democratic life, common values and civic engagement	0		0	0

4. What other priorities of your institution would you like EU funding programmes to sharacter limit)	support?	(600

15. Do you think Erasmus+ provides sufficient attention to the digital transformation of higher education institutions, in terms of learning and teaching, international exchange and cooperation? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It offers good opportunities to explore digitally enhanced collaboration with other higher education institutions	0	0	0	0
Mobility actions provide good opportunities for digitally enhanced student experiences	0	0	0	0
It supports our institution's collaboration on digital transformation with other institutions	0	0	0	0
It offers good opportunities to explore digitally enhanced learning and teaching	0	0	0	0

Erasmus+ interim ev	aluation 2023: E	UA survey preview		
*  16. Please provide y	our additional cor	mments here (600 char	acter limit)	
			,	
17. How would you a choose one option for	~	tools in the Erasmus+	programme ma	inagement? (please
	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
EC and EACEA employ digital tools in an appropriate fashion	0	0	0	0
Our <u>National</u> <u>Agency</u> employs digital tools in an appropriate fashion	0	0	0	0
Digital tools for grant management are gradually improved	0	0	0	0
Digital processes and procedures are robust and reliable	0	0	0	0
Some of the digital processes work already very well	0	0	0	0
We have been sufficiently consulted on the development and the roll-out of new digital tools	0	0	0	0

18. Please provide feedback on the following online tools. In case your institution is not (yet) using the tool, please click not applicable. (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes	Yes, to some extent	No	Not applicable
We preferred the Mobility Tool to the Beneficiary module	0	0	0	0
EWP – Erasmus without paper dashboard is a useful addition to the Erasmus+ administration toolkit		0	0	
OLA – Online learning agreements is a useful addition to the Erasmus+ administration toolkit	0	0	0	0
OLA – Online learning agreements has facilitated recognition procedures for mobile students	0	0	0	0
We have received positive feedback from our students that the Erasmus+ app has facilitated administrative processes for their mobilities		0	0	
The European Student Card is already quite useful	0	0	0	0
The European Student Card is expected to bring tangible benefits for students in the next 1-3 years		0	0	0

*			
18.1. In your opinion enhanced? <i>(600 cha</i>	, which digital tools/proces aracters limit)	ses need improvement	, and how could they be
19. Does your institu	ition use the European Stu	dent Card?	
O Yes			

#### Display This Question:

O I don't know

O No

If Does your institution use the European Student Card? = Yes

19.1. Does the European Student Card bring advantages for:

	Yes	Yes, to some extent	Not yet but expected	No	Not applicable
Our students, at home (at our HEI)	0	0	0	0	0
Our outgoing students, on mobility at partner institutions which already use the card	0	0	0	0	0
Our outgoing students, on virtual or blended short-term mobility	0	0	0	0	0
Incoming students on physical short-term mobility	0	$\circ$	0	0	0
Incoming students on virtual or blended short-term mobility	0	0	0	0	0
Other, please comment	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

20. How useful is the Online Linguistic Support (OLS) tool in its present form? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It is a reliable tool for assessing language skills	0	0	0	0
It provides good quality language training	0	0	0	0
It is beneficial and relevant for students	0	0	$\circ$	0
It contributes to promoting language learning and linguistic diversity	0	0	0	0
The new OLS platform is an improvement compared to the previous one	0	0	0	0
*				
1. Please provide y	our additional coi	mments here <i>(600 chai</i>	racter limit)	

your institution? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It draws attention to the Sustainable Development Goals	0	0	0	0

It supports our institution's collaboration on greening with other institutions	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
It helps our institution's collaboration with communities and society for greening and suability		0	0	0
It supports our institution in greening the administration (e.g. by replacing paperbased processes with digital ones)		0	0	0
It helps to relate our learning and teaching to the SDGs	$\circ$	0	0	0
Green mobility top-ups help to change travel behaviour of students		0	0	$\circ$
Green mobility top-ups help to change travel behaviour of staff	0	0	0	0
The 'most economic means of travel'-rule hinders green modes of travel	0	0	0	0
The new Erasmus charter for Higher Education (ECHE) helps us to promote green modes of travel amongst students and staff	0			0

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023:	<b>EUA</b> survey preview
-----------------------------------	---------------------------

	9		۰	
	Þ			
	ы		ы	

23. Please provide your additional comments here (600 character limit)	

24. Has Erasmus+ contributed to enhancing attention to equity and inclusion at your institution? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It encourages attention to inclusion and diversity at institutional level	0	0	0	0
It supports our institution's collaboration on inclusion with other institutions	0	0	0	0
Compared to the previous Erasmus+, inclusion in mobility has been enhanced	0	0	0	0
The dedicated top-ups for inclusion helped to enhance participation of students with fewer opportunities	0	0	0	0
The dedicated top-ups for Inclusion are easy to administer	0	0	0	0
The 2021 Erasmus+ Inclusion and Diversity Strategy had a positive impact	0	0	0	0

The enhanced definition of "(young) people with fewer opportunities" has made a positive impact in the selection and support of mobile students		0		
We have received additional support from our National Agency on this topic		0	0	0
26. Do you think that quality of your instit  Yes, it has in  No, as we a  No, as we a as this woul	ution's internationalis mproved the quality o re already quality-ass re already quality-ass d help to ensure bette useful for any instituti	er for Higher Educa ation activities? (pl of our internationalis sured but it may be sured. We would be er compliance at al	ation (ECHE) is used dease select one op- sation activities useful for other situal e in favour of more I higher education i	uations thorough checks,

27. How did	the Erasmus+ programmes react to the Covid pandemic? (please select all that apply)
	The EACEA enabled swift and flexible use of mobility programmes
	Our National Agency enabled swift and flexible use of mobility programmes
	Our institution could continue most of its mobility exchanges
	The EACEA enabled swift and flexible use of cooperation programmes
	Our National Agency enabled swift and flexible use of cooperation programmes
	Our institution could continue most of its collaboration activities
	Other/ comments
	s the Erasmus+ programme help to support Ukranian universities and students from ease select all that apply)
	It helped to continue existing collaborations with Ukrainian institutions
	We started new collaborations with Ukrainian institutions
	Erasmus helps us to receive Ukrainian students
	A programme is needed to host Ukrainian students, who need a longer study stay than one year
	A programme is needed to support academics from Ukraine
	Other / comments

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview
28.a. What would you like to improve in the overall Erasmus+ programme? And how would you do that?
*
29.a. In the current Erasmus+ Programme (600 character limit)
*
29.b. For the new EU funding programme for education and training beyond 2027 (600 character limit)
*
30. Do you have any further suggestions or remarks regarding the Erasmus+ in general? If so, please elaborate. (600 character limit)

Thank you! You have now successfully completed the first part of the survey. At this point, you can either submit and exit the survey, or continue to comment on specific Erasmus+ key actions (KA). The module for each action will take around 5 minutes to complete.

	n your interests please select actions you want to comment on. (you may of course I then skip those questions you do not want to answer)
O I do no	ot wish to continue - I submit now
*	
Please select	actions you wish to comment on
	KA1: Student Mobility
	KA1: Staff Mobility
( <u>decentra</u>	KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of education and training lised)
ENGOs (g	KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of Education & Training - submitted by centralised)
	KA2: Partnerships for Excellence: European Universities
	KA2: Erasmus Mundus Joint Master Degrees
	KA2: Erasmus Mundus Design Measures
	KA2: Capacity Building in Higher Education
	Any other comments you want to submit

Display This Question:

If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA1: Student Mobility

#### **KA1 - Student Mobility**

31. Do you agree with the following statements regarding the Erasmus + student mobility? (*please choose one option for each item*)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It is an attractive opportunity for our students to study abroad	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for students to come to our institution	0	0	0	0
For <b>students</b> , the application process is straightforward, and with no major problems	0		0	0
For <b>students</b> , the reporting requirements are appropriate and make sense	0	0	0	0
The overall amount of funding the institution receives is sufficient to send the majority of interested students	0		0	0
The grants provided to student are appropriate (= while it may not cover the full costs, it usually does not hinder students from participating)	0		0	0

Funding ceilings for travel costs are appropriate (= while they				
may not cover the full costs, they usually do not hinder students from participating)	0	0	0	0
The financial rules are clear and easy to apply		$\circ$	$\circ$	0
For the institution, the management is straightforward, with no major problems		0	0	0
The inter- institutional agreement requirements are appropriate	0	0	0	0
The action is sufficiently flexible	0	$\circ$	0	0
Support from the National Agency is sufficient	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
Management of the student mobility grants via the Beneficiary Module works well		0	0	0
We have experienced no major problems with the action	0	0	0	0
We will continue to use this action	0	0	0	$\bigcirc$

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey previe	erim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview
---	--

The Erasmus+ student mobility scheme has been adapted efficiently and quickly to the changes caused by the pandemic	0		

#### Display This Question:

If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA1: Student Mobility

32. Do you agree with the following statements regarding new formats offered under the Erasmus+ mobility? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
Blended Mobility is an attractive opportunity for our students	0	0	0	0
Blended Mobility is an attractive opportunity for our staff	$\circ$	0	0	0
Management of Blended Mobility is straightforward, with no major problems	0	0	$\circ$	0
Blended Intensive Programmes are an attractive opportunity for our students	0	0	$\circ$	0
Blended Intensive Programmes are an attractive opportunity for our staff	0		0	0

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey previous	ЭW
---	----

Management of Blended Intensive Programmes projects is straightforward, with no major problems	0	0	0	0
Virtual Exchanges with third countries are an attractive opportunity for our students	0	0	0	0
Management of Virtual Exchanges with third countries projects is straightforward, with no major problems			0	0
Short term mobility for doctoral candidates is an attractive opportunity for our students			0	0
Management of short-term mobility for doctoral candidates is straightforward, with no major problems	0	0	0	0
Display This Questio	n: actions you wish to comr	ment on = KA1: Stud	lent Mobility	

33. Do you agree with the following statements regarding the Erasmus+ international credit mobility (KA107)? *(please choose one option for each item)* 

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not kow
It is an attractive opportunity for <b>our students</b> to study abroad	0	0	0	0

It is an attractive opportunity for students from outside of Europe to come to our institution	0	0	0	0
Our institution considers issues of social inclusion & equity for outgoing mobility	0	0	0	0
Our institution considers issues of social inclusion & equity for incoming mobility	0	$\circ$	0	0
Incoming ICM- students are important for our internationalisation ambitions	0	0	0	0
The overall amount of funding the institution receives is sufficient to send the majority of interested students	0	0	0	0
For some regions, outgoing student demand exceeds by far the available funding	0	0	0	0
The grants provided to students is appropriate (= while it may not cover the full costs, it usually does not hinder students from participating)			0	0

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview Funding ceilings for travel costs are appropriate (= while they may not cover the full costs, they usually do not hinder students from participating) The financial rules are clear and easy to apply For the institution, the management is straightforward, with no major problems The action is sufficiently flexible Support from the National Agency is sufficient Management of the student mobility grants via the Mobility Tool Beneficiary Module works well We have experienced no major problems with the action We will continue to use this action Display This Question: If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA1: Student Mobility 34. Are there any major problems with the mobility programmes, that you would like to share? (600 character limit)

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview					
Display This Question					
If Please select a	ctions you wish to c	comment on = KA1: Stude	ent Mobility		
35. What would you	improve about th	is action (student mobil	lity)?(600 chara	acter limit)	
Display This Question:  If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA1: Staff Mobility  KA1 -Staff Mobility  36. Do you agree with the following statements regarding the Erasmus+ staff mobility? (please one option for each item)					
It is an attractive opportunity for outgoing teaching staff	Yes, fully	extent	No	I do not know	
It is an attractive opportunity for outgoing administrative (non-teaching) staff	0	0	0	0	
It is an attractive opportunity for incoming teaching staff	0	0	0	$\circ$	

It is an attractive opportunity for incoming administrative (non-teaching)

staff

It provides a useful opportunity to send staff to private sector organisations	0	0	0	0
It provides a useful opportunity to receive staff from private sector organisations	0	0	0	0
Our institution encourages its use for continuous professional development.	0	0	0	0
For the staff, the application process is straightforward, and with no major problems	0	0	0	0
The reporting requirements for staff are appropriate and make sense	0	0	0	0
The overall amount of funding the institution receives is sufficient to send the majority of interested staff			0	0
Funding ceilings are appropriate (= while they may not cover the full costs, they usually do not hinder participation)	0		0	0

Our institution provides top-ups for staff from its own budget	0	0	0	0
The financial rules are clear and easy to apply	0	0	0	0
The application and reporting requirements for the higher education institutions are appropriate (= not	0	0	0	0
unnecessarily bureaucratic and work intensive)				
The inter- institutional agreement requirements are appropriate	0	0	0	0
The action is sufficiently flexible	0	0	0	0
Management of the staff mobility grants via the Mobility Tool works well	0	0	0	0
Support from the National Agency is sufficient	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
We have experienced no major problems with the action over the past years	0	0	0	0
The Erasmus+ staff mobility was efficiently and quickly adapted during the pandemic	0	0	0	0
We will continue to use this action	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$

institution partners (industries, NGOs etc.)

The application process is straightforward	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
The partnership requirements are reasonable	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
The action is sufficiently flexible	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
Preparing applications is worth the time investment - in terms of success rate	0	0	0	0
The application and reporting requirements are appropriate (= not unnecessarily bureaucratic and work intensive)	0	0	0	0
The selection process by the National Agency is clear, fully transparent, and well-managed	0	0	0	0
Management of the projects by the National Agency works well	0	$\circ$	0	0
It would be better to have this action selected and managed at European (EACEA) level	0	0	0	0
We have experienced no major problems with the action	0	0	0	0
The amount of funding provided by the grant is sufficient.	0	$\circ$	0	0
	I			

		0	
0	0	0	0
		0	
0	0	0	0

		This	$\sim$		
- IIO	$\alpha \nu \alpha \nu \nu$	Inic		$\alpha$ eti $\alpha$	ın.
	ulav	HIIIO	WU		,,,,

If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of education and training (decentralised)

	3	P.	
	'n	ú	

). What would paracter limit	e about this ac	ction (Collabo	oration Proje	cts <u>decentralis</u>	sed)? (600

#### Display This Question:

If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of Education & Training - submitted by ENGOs (entralised)

# KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of education and training (submitted by ENGOs - centralised)

40. Do you agree with the following statements regarding Collaboration Projects (centralised)? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation among European higher education institutions	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for including international higher education institutions (beyond Europe)	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for including non-higher education institution partners (industries, NGOs etc.)	0			

The application process is straightforward	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
The partnership requirements are reasonable	0	0	0	0
The action is sufficiently flexible	0	$\circ$	0	0
The requirements for the higher education institutions are appropriate (= not unnecessarily bureaucratic and work intensive)	0		0	0
The financial rules are clear and easy to apply	0	0	0	0
The selection process by the National Agency is clear, fully transparent, and well-managed	0		0	0
Management of the projects by the National Agency works well	0	0	0	0
It would be better to have this action selected and managed at national level	0	0	0	0
Preparing applications is worth the time investment - in terms of success rate	0	0	0	0

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview The lump sums offered are sufficient to fulfil the project objectives (= while they may not cover the full costs, they do not hinder participation) We have experienced no major problems with the action over the past year We will continue to apply for these projects Display This Question: If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Cooperation Partnerships in the field of Education & Training - submitted by ENGOs (<u>centralised</u>) 41. What would you improve about this action (Collaboration Projects - centralised)? (600 character limit) Display This Question: If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Partnerships for Excellence: European KA2: Partnerships for Execellence: European Universities 42. Is your higher education institution part of a European University Alliance consortium? Yes, we are, or have been. No, but are planning to No, but we had considered it

O No

# Display This Question:

If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Partnerships for Excellence: European Iniversities

43. Do you agree with the following statements regarding the Erasmus+ "European Universities"? *(please select one option for each item)* 

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation between higher education institutions	0	0	0	0
The application process is straightforward	0	0	0	0
The partnership requirements are reasonable	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0
The action is sufficiently flexible and allows applicants to develop and implement measures according to their vision	0		0	0
Preparing applications is worth the time investment - in terms of success rate	0	0	0	
The amount of funding provided by the grant is sufficient. to fulfil the project objectives (= while they may not cover the full costs, they do not hinder participation)				

The lump sums offered are sufficient to fulfil the project objectives (= while they may not cover the full costs, they do not hinder participation)			0	0
The application and reporting requirements for the higher education institutions are appropriate (= not unnecessarily bureaucratic and work intensive)			0	0
Management of the projects by the National Agency works well	0	0	0	0
We will continue to apply for these projects (in case of an existing alliance: for calls for intensification of collaboration			0	0

Display This Question:
If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Partnerships for Excellence: European

# 44. Which of the following statements would you support?

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extend	No	I do not know
The alliance is challenging, but worth the effort	0	0	0	0

Problems that we encounter in transnational exchange and cooperation are much bigger than anticipated	0	0	0	0
Many of the problems that we face are due to external, system-level challenges (e.g. national regulation, external quality assurance, national funding rules etc.)			0	0
Many of the problems that we face as European University are due to internal regulations and differences in approaches at our and partner institutions			0	0
The action's policy objectives are good, but too many. The Alliance should be allowed to prioritise, rather than to fulfil everything.	0		0	0
Project activities, such as for example joint programmes, would require complementary funding in order to be sustained and have impact.	0		0	0

Regular Erasmus+ staff & student grants provide a significant contribution to the mobility exchanges of our Alliance		0	0	
Staff have a high interest in our alliance	0	0	0	0
Involving staff beyond those directly involved remains a challenge.	$\circ$	0	0	0
Students have a high interest in our alliance	0	0	0	0
The alliance is seen by some members as a top down approach	0	0	0	0
Actively involving students in the governance of the alliance remains a challenge.	0	0	0	0
This alliance approach will remain for our institution a strategic orientation for the future, there is some concern is that the alliance absorbs too many resources and attention, to the detriment of other forms of transnational cooperation				
It is an attractive opportunity for collaborating also with partner universities		$\circ$	$\circ$	0

Intregration of and collaboration with partner country universities should be made easier.	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for including non- higher education partners (industries, NGOs etc.)	0	0	0	0
Our Alliance will likely be able to continue without European funding – possibly with different goals and activities				
	n: actions you wish to comn	ment on = KA2: Part	nerships for Excellen	ce: European
Universities *				
45. What do you thi (600 character limit	ink needs to be improv	ed about this acti	on (European Unive	ersities Initiative)?

Display This Question:

If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Erasmus Mundus Joint Master Degrees

### **KA2: Erasmus Mundus Joint Masters**

46. Do you agree with the following statements regarding the Erasmus Mundus Joint Master? (please choose one option for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It is an attractive opportunity for European students	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for international students	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for teaching staff	0	$\circ$	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation among European higher education institutions	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation with international higher education institutions (beyond the EU)	0		0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for including non-higher education institution partners (industries, NGOs etc.)	0	0	0	
The application process is straightforward	0	$\circ$	0	0
The partnership requirements are reasonable	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0
The action is sufficiently flexible	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0

The amount of funding provided by the action is sufficient to fulfil the project objectives (= while they may not cover the full costs, they do not hinder participation)			0	0
The funding ceilings are appropriate to fulfil the project objectives (= while they may not cover the full costs, they do not hinder participation)			0	0
The requirements for the higher education institutions are appro-priate (= not unnecessarily bureaucratic and work intensive)			0	0
We will be able to continue the joint programme(s) even after the end of the project funding			0	0
The financial rules are clear and easy to apply	0	0	$\circ$	0
Preparing applications is worth the time investment - in terms of success rate		0	0	0

We have experienced no major problems with the action	0	0	0	$\circ$	
We will continue to use this action	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	
Display This Question	ז:				
If Please select a	nctions you wish to comr	ment on = KA2: Eras	smus Mundus Joint M	laster Degrees	
*					
47. What would you limit)	improve about this a	ction (Erasmus Mu	undus Joint Masters	s)? (600 character	
Display This Question	n: 				
If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Erasmus Mundus Design Measures					

# **KA2: Erasmus Mundus Design Measures**

48. Do you agree with the following statements regarding the Erasmus Mundus Design Measures? *(please choose one option for each item)* 

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It is an attractive opportunity for staff	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation among European higher education institutions	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation with international higher education institutions (beyond the EU)			0	0

It is an attractive opportunity for including non-higher education partners (industries, NGOs etc)		0	0	0
The application process is straightforward	0	$\circ$	0	0
The partnership requirements are reasonable	0	0	0	0
The action is sufficiently flexible	0	0	0	0
The requirements for the higher education institutions are appropriate (= not unnecessarily bureaucratic and work intensive)				0
The financial rules are clear and easy to apply	$\circ$	0	0	0
Preparing applications is worth the time investment - in terms of success rate		0	$\circ$	0
The lump sums offered are sufficient to fulfil the project objectives (= while they may not cover the full costs, they do not hinder participation)	0		0	0

We have experienced no major problems with the action	0	0	0	0
We will continue to use this action	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
Display This Question	n: actions you wish to comi	ment on = KA2: Eras	mus Mundus Design	Measures
*	,			
49. What would you character limit)	ı improve about this a	ction (Erasmus Mu	ındus Design Meas	sure)? <i>(600</i>
Display This Question  If Please select a	n: actions you wish to com	ment on = KA2: Cap	acity Building in High	ner Education

# KA2: Capacity building in Higher Education

50. Do you agree with the following statements regarding the Capacity Building? (please choose one for each item)

	Yes, fully	Yes, to some extent	No	I do not know
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation for an institution from Programme countries (= EU/EEA)	0	0	0	0
It is an attractive opportunity for cooperation with an institution from Partner countries (non-EU/EEA)	0	0	0	0

The application process is straightforward	0	$\circ$	0	0
The partnership requirements are reasonable	0	0	0	0
The action is sufficiently flexible	0	0	0	0
The requirements for the higher education institutions are appropriate (= not unnecessarily bureaucratic and work intensive)			0	0
The financial rules are clear and easy to apply	0	0	0	0
The lump sums offered are sufficient to fulfil the project objectives (= while they may not cover the full costs, they do not hinder participation)	0		0	0
The application and selection process managed by EACEA works well	0	0	0	0
Management of the projects at the EACEA works well	0	0	0	0
Preparing applications is worth the time investment - in terms of success rate	0	0	0	0

Erasmus+ interim evaluation 2023: EUA survey preview							
We will continue to apply for these projects	0	0	0	0			
Previous programmes, for example Tempus, had some advantages, which can not be found in this programme (if yes, please explain in the next section)	0	0		0			
Display This Question:  If KA2: Capacity building in Higher Education Do you agree with the following statements regarding t = Previous programmes, for example Tempus, had some advantages, which can not be found in this programme (if yes, please explain in the next section) [Yes, fully]							
Or KA2: Capacity building in Higher Education Do you agree with the following statements regarding t = Previous programmes, for example Tempus, had some advantages, which can not be found in this programme (if yes, please explain in the next section) [Yes, to some extent]  Or Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Capacity Building in Higher Education							
51. If, yes, please explain here (600 character limit)							
Display This Question:  If Please select actions you wish to comment on = KA2: Capacity Building in Higher Education							
If Please select a	actions you wish to com	iment on = KA2: Cap	acity Building in High	ier Education			



52.	What would you improve about this action? (Capacity Building projects)? (600 ch	aracter limit)
		-
		-

Thank you for taking the time to complete our survey. Your feedback is instrumental for our further lobbying work on behalf of European higher education institutions.

Please click next to submit your contribution and to download a pdf of your responses.